



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/700,614 | 11/04/2003 | Daniel James Kinne | 9422L | 6503 |
| 27752 | 7590 | 11/04/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224 | | | CHAN, SING P | |
| | | ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1734 | | |
| DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/700,614 | KINNE ET AL. |
| | Examiner Sing P. Chan | Art Unit 1734 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-10, 13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 9 and 10 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/22/05</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buske (U.S. 3,907,628).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Buske discloses an apparatus for applying a sheet material. The apparatus includes two convex presser edges, (20 and 22) two protuberances, (24 and 26) and two brushing strips, which brush away any loose particles, which provide additional pressing means and include flat surfaces and these burnishes subtend an includes angle of at least 180°. (Col 3, lines 22-36, Col 5, lines 57-60, and Figure 1-4)

Regarding claim 3, the burnishes as disclosed by Buske includes an extrapolated vertex and offset from the burnishes. (Figures 1-4)

Regarding claim 4, the surfaces of the burnishes as disclosed by Buske includes angle of at least 270°.

Regarding claim 5, the brushing strips as disclosed by Buske are of mutually different materials than the presser edges and protuberances. (Col 5, line 58-60)

Regarding claim 6, Buske discloses the burnishes subtend an angle of less than 180° opposite the surface of the burnishes and a guide member (12), which allow sheet material to be inserted into a cavity (14) and function as a holder.

Regarding claim 7, Buske discloses the apparatus includes a cavity (14), which is disposed above one of the burnish when disposed against a vertical substrate. (Figures 1-4)

Regarding claim 9, Buske discloses the apparatus is form of any suitable materials, which is considered to include compliant material. (Col 3, line 62 to Col 4, line 11)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buske (U.S. 3,907,628) as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Takahashi (U.S. 4,026,648).

Buske as disclosed above does not disclose at least on burnish comprises felt. Buske does disclose a brushing strip for brushing loose particles and considered to be capable of also function as an additional burnish. And using felt to brush or clean loose particles from a surface is well known and conventional as shown for example by Takahashi. Takahashi discloses a cleaning means to remove particles form a surface includes paper, a pad with a sponge, felt, brush, or a cleaning blade such as rubber or plastic, (Col 5, lines 20-51) which are all equivalents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide at least one burnish comprising felt or any other material as disclosed by Takahashi in the apparatus of Buske as to provide any means, which are equivalent to press and burnish the sheet material onto a surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 13 and 14 are allowed.
6. Claims 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims recite an apparatus for applying sheet material. The apparatus includes two burnishes (claim 1) or two non-rotatable burnishes (claim 13), with outwardly facing surfaces for burnishing the sheet material, with the burnishes being disposed with the first surfaces subtend an angle of at least 180° (claim 1) or being disposed in convex noncoplanar relationship relative to each other (claim 13), the two burnishes comprise of different materials, (claim 14), a control bar for attachment to the sheet material with control bar being movable between a deployed position and a retractable position, whereby the control bar is juxtaposable with a corner formed by a flat substrate when extended to the deployed position (claim 8), the two burnishes are arcuate and at least one arcuate burnish having a first surface, which is convexly shaped, with a major axis, and having a hinge generally parallel to major axis with each burnishes being joined to and articulable about said hinge relative to the other (claim

15). Buske discloses an apparatus for applying a sheet material. The apparatus includes two convex presser edges, (20 and 22) two protuberances, (24 and 26) and two brushing strips, which brush away any loose particles and also provide additional pressing means, which are all burnishes. (Col 3, lines 22-36, Col 5, lines 57-60, and Figure 1-4) However, Buske does not disclose the apparatus includes a control bar for attachment to the sheet material with control bar being movable between an deployed position and a retractable position, whereby the control bar is juxtaposable with a corner formed by a flat substrate when extended to the deployed position (claim 8), the two burnishes are arcuate and at least one arcuate burnish having a first surface, which is convexly shaped, with a major axis, and having a hinge generally parallel to major axis with each burnishes being joined to and articulable about said hinge relative to the other (claim 15), with the burnishes comprise of different material (claim 14). A search of the prior art of record did not disclose reference or references in combination with the recited features.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument of Buske does not disclose flat burnish. The examiner disagrees with applicant. Buske does show flat burnishes such as the flat end of the brushes on the applicator. (See Figure 1-4)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sing P. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-

1225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-11:00AM and 12:00PM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chan Sing P

SPC

CFD

CHRIS FIORILLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ad 1734